

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/064,925	NAGAI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Jaydi A. Aguirrech a	2834	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Final Rejection

(1) Jaydi A. Aguirrechea.

(3) Ernest Beutler (Reg. No. 19901).

(2) Karl Tamai.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 22 October 2003

**Time:** 9:00am

**Type of Interview:**

- ☐ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☒ Personal (Copy given to: ☒ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*Final Rejection - 103 AAPA in view of Swanke et al. (US 3829720)*

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

*Swanke et al. (US 3829720)*

**Part II.**


**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Applicant argues the insulator must be between the windings and the laminated core. The examiner believes the limitation is shown in the drawings Figure 2.*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner Signature)

  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)